

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/196,916	11/20/98	RUMBACH		А	364/51	
- KENYON & KEI ONE BROADWAY		IM22/1212	乛	MCNEIL	EXAMINER, J	
NEW YORK NY	10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1775	7	
				DATE MAILED:	12/12/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/196,916

Jennifer McNell

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Rumbach et al

1775



THE		DD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
6	· 🗀	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	is re	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicheves later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
(date on whi	sion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The hich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of any the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be a from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	ne
	Appellant period fo	nt's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or or response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	within any
App but	plicant's is NOT	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 15, 2000</u> has been considered with the final to place the application in condition for allowance:	following eff ct,
X	The prop	posed amendment(s):	
-	□ will b	be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
ļ		not be entered because:	
	_	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		hey raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	is	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simpli ssues for appeal.	fying the
	☐ th	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE		ght percent".
		with reference to the alloy composition.	
	☐ Appl	olicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly p	proposed or amended claims would be allowable te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	if submitted in a
	separate	te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	. i
	The affi	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application wance because:	In condition
	Examin	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new ner in the final rejection.	ly raised by the
X	For pur	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Claims	allowed:	
	Claims	s objected to:	
	Claims	rejected: <u>1-17</u>	
	The pro	oposed drawing correction filed on	ne Examiner.
	The pro	oposed drawing correction filed on	ne Examiner.
	The pro	oposed drawing correction filed on	ne Examiner.